


rmp

**Risk control**  
Managing Choking Risk



In partnership with



# Managing Choking Risk

## Introduction

Recent high-profile cases have once again drawn a spotlight on the topic of choking risk.

In 2022, Care UK<sup>1</sup> was fined £1.5 million for failing to protect an elderly gentleman by failing to:

- Give him the right diet of soft foods for the entire time he was in their care
- Food preparation staff did not understand how to prepare the correct food for him
- Records on his dietary needs were not accurate
- Advice from a hospital team were not fully incorporated into his care plan and risk assessments

In 2022, Bright Horizons Nursery<sup>2</sup> in Edinburgh was fined £800,000 and a nursery manager was personally fined £2,000 for failing to ensure that children were adequately supervised during mealtimes, and of failing to adequately control the risk of injury or death to children from choking on food.

Regulators such as the Care Inspectorate (in Scotland), the Care Quality Commission (England) and the Health and Safety Executive<sup>3</sup> are all clear that any individual has the right to expect they are kept safe while receiving care.

## Concerns

In a situation where a person is unable to swallow a medical emergency can occur with significant consequences for the individual. The emergency first aid actions of staff can be critical to ensuring a quick response and, in most cases, a successful outcome.

Understanding the potential for choking incidents across any enterprise is critical to ensuring that effective measures are put in place to actively prevent an adverse situation.

Organisations should have a robust risk assessment system in place which can identify the potential for choking and must implement control measures to prevent that risk.

The Health and Safety Executive published a report<sup>4</sup> entitled "Good practice and pitfalls in risk assessment" in 2003 highlighting that many risk assessment systems, ten years after initial implementation, did not have that nuance for this type of risk and therefore it can potentially go unrecognised.

## Dysphagia

Dysphagia<sup>5</sup> is the medical term for swallowing difficulties.

Some people with dysphagia have problems swallowing certain foods or liquids, while others can't swallow at all.

Other signs of dysphagia include:

- Coughing or choking when eating or drinking
- Bringing food back up, sometimes through the nose
- A sensation that food is stuck in the throat or chest
- Persistent drooling of saliva

Over time, dysphagia can also cause symptoms such as weight loss and repeated chest infections. This issue is particularly relevant for organisations responsible for giving care or supporting young service users including individuals with special educational needs and disabilities.

## Hazards

There are a variety of foods which are more likely to cause choking. The following list<sup>6</sup>, while not exhaustive, provides an idea of foods commonly associated with choking.

- **Small hard foods:** nuts, large seeds, hard dried fruit, pieces of raw carrot, celery or apple, or foods that break into sharp pieces like crisps or crackers
- **Small round or oval foods:** sausages, grapes, berries, peas, olives and cherry tomatoes, raisins, sultanas, fruits with stones, sweets or round shaped cereals
- **Foods with skins or leaves:** chicken, frankfurters, apples and pears, tomatoes, lettuce, raw salad leaves, spinach and cabbage
- **Compressible foods:** hot dogs, pieces of cooked meat, marshmallow, popcorn
- **Thick pastes:** chocolate spreads, peanut butter
- **Fibrous or stringy foods:** celery, rhubarb, and raw pineapple

## Framework

The International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative (IDDSI) framework consists of a continuum of 8 levels (0 - 7), where drinks are measured from Levels 0 - 4, while foods are measured from Levels 3 - 7. It was implemented in United Kingdom in April 2019.

The framework provides a common terminology to describe food textures and drink thickness particularly useful for food preparation and care giving staff.

### Foods

- Regular or Easy to chew (7)
- Soft & Bite Sized (6)
- Minced & Moist (5)
- Pureed (4)
- Liquidised (3)

### Drinks

- Extremely Thick (4)
- Moderately Thick (3)
- Mildly Thick (2)
- Slightly Thick (1)
- Thin (0)

The framework also explains a test method<sup>7</sup> that anyone can carry out to establish each characteristic. This enables the preparation of food and drink to the correct consistency and volume.

The IDDSI website<sup>8</sup> also provides freely available resources including:

- IDDSI reference posters
- Pocket-size reference cards suitable for all staff

### Formal Assessment

There are two main types of dysphagia. One is caused by problems with the mouth or throat and occurs when a person has difficulty moving the food or fluid to the back of the mouth and starting the swallowing process (oropharyngeal). The other is related to problems with foods or liquids passing from the top of the oesophagus and into the stomach (oesophageal dysphagia).

The Inter-professional Dysphagia Framework provides guidance around the skills, knowledge and abilities needed in identifying and managing feeding / swallowing difficulties. It stresses a holistic approach to the assessment and management of dysphagia and highlights issues beyond a physical assessment of the swallow such as:

- Environment
- Levels of alertness
- Behavioural issues
- Psychological issues
- Cultural issues
- Posture

The British Dietetic Association<sup>9</sup> and the Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists<sup>10</sup> (SALT) have adopted the IDDSI guidelines. A Speech and Language Therapist will assess the swallow capabilities of an individual in order to ascertain the most suitable food texture for them.

Modification of the consistency of food and liquid is one of the most common interventions and can reduce the risk of choking and aspiration.

A report from the Speech and Language Therapist is then provided to the relevant care home. This enables an individual care plan to be adapted to the individuals needs supporting their nutritional requirements.

A competent person undertaking a risk assessment would need to ensure that the report provided by SALT contains a clear indication of that persons IDDSI level for food and drink, so that the local dynamic risk assessment can be competently completed.

### Review

#### Strategic Risk Assessment

An organisation should consider where the potential for choking can occur as part of their undertaking. For instance,

- Nurseries and early years settings
- Primary schools
- Settings supporting special educational needs and disabilities<sup>11</sup>
- Day care
- Residential care
- Reablement centres
- Home care

In these different environments the opportunity may arise where items of food, drink or other ingestible materials are available for a person to attempt to swallow.

It is also important to consider the individuals likely to be found in those settings, based on their age, cognitive capacity, and any underlying or developing health conditions.

#### Planning Stage Risk Assessment

Having given a commitment to undertake the provision of a service, the organisation has to ensure the resources required to control the risk have been allocated and are proportionate.

For instance:

- Deciding to change a residential care setting to facilitate reablement which will require a different staffing model
- Enabling loose parts play in an environment ensuring the supervision levels are proportionate to the risk

#### Task Based Risk Assessments

Many organisations have a plethora of low-level granular risk assessments which are focussed on specific tasks such as preparing food, serving meals, or organising trips away from controlled premises where access to food with less supervision may occur.

Ensuring these task-based assessments specifically recognise the choking risk is essential.

Where generic risk assessment formats are used with predefined lists of hazards care must be taken to ensure that risk assessors are anticipating and recognising the hazards that are there.

### Dynamic Risk Assessments

Many environments do not remain the same over time.

Activities are planned such as trips out or inviting the community into their setting, which brings with it an increased requirement to ensure proper supervision of the choking risk.

For instance, a contractor working in a care home may leave behind loose parts, waste materials or unfinished food which a resident attempt to eat.

### Individual Care Plans

In a care setting the primary focus is providing individual personalised care. The key documentation is contained in a care plan. Assessments for differing needs, including diet and nutrition will be contained in a folder which care staff refer to and update.

A key document will be the SALT report which specifies the level of Food and Drink to be provided to the service user. Both the catering staff and care staff need to understand that information to ensure the safety and wellbeing of the care user.

### Menus

The catering team require the IDDSI information so that they can prepare food which is safe for the individual.

Care should be taken to ensure that access to foods is managed when the catering team are not onsite. Access to ready meals, or pre-prepared foods, should be restricted.

It is common practice for individual specific food diet information to be displayed in the kitchen or contained within a catering folder and prepared food identified for individual residents.

### Communication

A common theme identified by regulators relates to breakdown in communications, inconsistent paperwork, and a lack of regular reviewing of current information relating to a person and their choking risk.

The assessment of choking risk by SALT needs to translate into positive action in the workplace to prepare, serve and supervise the consumption of food and drinks.

Information also needs to be considered dynamically, and the responsiveness of your risk management system to changing information is critical.

### Agency Staff

In an environment where agency staff are used, particularly for caring and catering, effective induction and clear methods of communication are required.

Peripatetic staff need to be able to follow the system of choking risk management in any establishment.

Inconsistency of information, location of documentation and handover instructions can all lead to a breakdown in the arrangements resulting in the risk of choking increasing.

### Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974<sup>12</sup>

Every employer not only has to consider the health and safety of their own employees (Section 2) but recognise the other persons who can be present. Those persons covered by Section 3 can include contractors, visitors, members of the public and unlawful visitors (also termed trespassers in England and Wales).

An organisation can be responsible for the control of premises, and Section 4 sets out a responsibility (also commonly known as the Landlord Duty) to make sure a person can safely enter, leave, and use common areas of premises safely.

### Risk Assessment

The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999<sup>13</sup> expects an assessment of risk will consider several factors:

- The nature of the premises
- The types of occupants who can be expected to have access to the premises or be present
- The activities or tasks which present the opportunity to ingest food or drink which could cause them to choke

More specifically the focus for any assessment needs to also consider any formal assessment by a competent person on the level of Dysphagia the individual may have.

This degree of focus required **may not feature on a routine or generic risk assessment**, as it needs the integration of specific information known about the individual which may change and evolve over time, especially in a care setting.

## Evolving Risk

The service user's needs may change. The individual's personal care plan needs to evolve over time. In some settings the Dysphagia may continue to worsen, and a review may be prompted by the service user attending hospital. On their return updated information from SALT will require a review of the personal care plan.

The setting may present ad-hoc opportunities for service users to receive less supervision while enabling access to food, drink or other items which could trigger a choking situation.

The staffing present, particularly carers and catering staff, in a certain premises can change. Therefore, new staff will need to be inducted into the key features of an individual care plan for a service user who has dysphagia.

## Managing the Risk

A review of the assessment of choking risks should consider:

- More effective Strategic Risk Assessment to anticipate and recognise the risks
- Planning Stage Risk Assessments to ensure that resources deployed are proportionate to the risk
- Traceability of choking risks from dynamic and task-based risk assessments brought to the attention of senior management
- the competence of assessors to recognise choking hazards
- the use of free form risk assessments to allow specific details to be recorded rather than tick box assessment templates
- Manager training in the IDDSI framework
- Catering staff training in the IDDSO framework
- Care staff awareness training on choking risk
- Timely reviews of risk assessments following care plan changes
- Clearly documented reviews of risk assessments
- Clearly documented reviews following regulatory visits and correspondence

As with other issues, adequate training and supervision should be provided to ensure that staff understand the risks, the precautions that have been implemented in the workplace, and the need to report any incidents or concerns about choking to a responsible person.

## Regulatory Attention

### The Care Quality Commission

In 2017, Care Quality Commission prosecuted a care home provider<sup>14</sup> for failing to manage risks to people's safety. One of those risks involved a choking incident. The provider neglected SALT advice, failed to update care records, and lacked clarity in staff instructions.

### The Health and Safety Executive

In 2022, The HSE prosecuted HC-One Ltd<sup>15</sup> and they were fined £640,000 after one of its residents choked on a piece of doughnut and died.

The woman was on a diet of minced and moist food after a severe stroke and vascular dementia left her at risk of choking.

Bread products were not considered suitable for her diet as they could not be mashed small enough. Prior to her death, the resident had frequently been given sandwiches from the snack trolley, repeatedly putting her at risk. However, the snack trolley did not have information on modified diets or food suitability. Staff in charge of the trolley had also not had sufficient training.

## Time for a Review

It is never too late to check that arrangements are effective in preventing choking. The Enterprise Risks from failing to manage the potential of a choking incident, particularly involving a vulnerable person, can be significant.

A regular review of arrangements to prevent choking advisable to satisfy your organisation that it is doing it can so far as is reasonably practicable.

A robust policy and clearly documented process are essential in protecting the reputation of the organisation and minimising the risk of choking.

## References

1. [Care UK fined after care home choking death](#)
2. [Edinburgh Nursery Manager fined after baby choked to death](#)
3. [Health and safety in care homes](#)
4. [RR151 - Good practice and pitfalls in risk assessment. Published 2003.](#)
5. [NHS Inform: Dysphagia swallowing problems](#)
6. [Lambeth Council advice to Childminders](#)
7. [IDDSI Framework Detailed Definitions](#)
8. [www.IDDSI.org Resources](#)

9. [The British Dietetic Association](#)
10. [The Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists](#)
11. [Dysphagia and people with learning disabilities - Public Health England](#)
12. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1974/37/contents>
13. [The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999\)](#)
14. [Issue 6: Caring for people at risk of choking: Care Quality Commission](#)
15. [Tullibody care home resident choked to death on a doughnut](#)

Risk Management Partners and Gallagher Bassett would like to thank QBE European Operations for the material used to shape this toolkit segment.

## Further information

For access to further RMP Resources you may find helpful in reducing your organisation's cost of risk, please access the RMP Resources or RMP Articles pages on our website. To join the debate follow us on our LinkedIn page.

## Get in touch

For more information, please contact your broker, RMP risk control consultant or account director.

[contact@rmpartners.co.uk](mailto:contact@rmpartners.co.uk)



### **Risk Management Partners**

The Walbrook Building  
25 Walbrook  
London EC4N 8AW

020 7204 1800  
[rmpartners.co.uk](http://rmpartners.co.uk)

This newsletter does not purport to be comprehensive or to give legal advice. While every effort has been made to ensure accuracy, Risk Management Partners cannot be held liable for any errors, omissions or inaccuracies contained within the document. Readers should not act upon (or refrain from acting upon) information in this document without first taking further specialist or professional advice.

Risk Management Partners Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered office: The Walbrook Building, 25 Walbrook, London EC4N 8AW. Registered in England and Wales. Company no. 2989025.